Mapp v. Ohio / Opinion Analysis

Justice Clark wrote the Majority Opinion of the Court:

V.

Moreover, our holding that the exclusionary rule is an essential part of both the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments is not only the logical dictate of prior cases, but it also makes very good sense. There is no war between the Constitution and common sense. Presently, a federal prosecutor may make no use of evidence illegally seized, but a State’s attorney across the street may, although he supposedly is operating under the enforceable prohibitions of the same Amendment. Thus the State, by admitting evidence unlawfully seized, serves to encourage disobedience to the Federal Constitution which it is bound to uphold. Moreover, as was said in

The exclusionary rule was established in Weeks v. United States (1914) when the Supreme Court decided evidence seized in violation of the Fourth Amendment could not be admitted as evidence in a federal trial.


Constitutional Provisions

Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution

“The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.”

14th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, Section 1

“… nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.”
Vocabulary
1. Define the following terms below and others you are not familiar with in your own words. You may wish to consult a legal dictionary at https://dictionary.law.com/ or https://www.nolo.com/dictionary.
   a. federal:
   
   b. prohibitions:
   
   c. prosecutor:
   
   d. seized:
   
   e. Other words you need to define:

Observe
2. What do you notice first about the words in this text?

Reflect
3. Put this part of the opinion in your own words:

4. What is the purpose of this piece of the opinion?
5. Who is the primary audience for this opinion?

**Question**

6. What do you still wonder about this source?